1	Guy D. Knoller - #002803		
2	Law Offices of Guy David Knoller, P.L.L.C	2.	
	18221 N. 42 nd Street		
3	Phoenix, Arizona 85032 (602) 799-2346		
4	E-Mail: guydknoller@gmail.com		
5	2 man go, manono (gamma em		
6	Attorney for Plaintiff		
7	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
8	FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA		
	William Winters,		
9		No.	
10	Plaintiff,	COMPLAINT	
11	VS.	(Wrongful Discharge in Violation of	
12		A.R.S. §23-1501; Violation of the	
	Orian Hamas III C	Anti-Retaliation Provisions of False	
13	Orion Homes, LLC, Claims Act, 31 U.S.C. 3730)		
14	Defendant.	Jury Trial Demanded	
15			
16			
17			
	Plaintiff alleges:		
18	_		
19	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
20	(wrongiui	Termination)	
21	1. Plaintiff is a resident of Mario	opa County, Arizona.	
22	2. Defendant is an Arizona comp	pany with its principal place of business in	
23			
24	Maricopa County, Arizona.		
25	3. The events giving rise to this	cause of action occurred in Maricopa County,	
26	Arizona.		
27	4 DI: 4:00 : 1 0		
28	4. Plaintiff received a performance evaluation after 90 days judging him		
	satisfactory, very good and superior.		

- 5. Plaintiff was employed as a manager on or about August 28, 2019, and during his employment, at various times, Plaintiff brought to the Defendant's attention fraudulent activity being committed by employees in violation of Arizona statutes, and more importantly Plaintiff's unwillingness to participate in the illegal activities; to wit:
 - A. During his employment Plaintiff complaint intermittently to HR and his supervisor shortly after being hired and thereafter about fraudulent audit schedules and 1:1 ratios (the 1:1 was being billed, but not followed and the schedules were posted, but were not accurate);
 - B. On or about February 15, 2019, Plaintiff complained via website to the Division of Development Disorders about Medicaid fraud (*(e.g.,* charging Medicaid clients 1:1 rates for care they did not receive) and abuse by false audit schedules being mailed to Plaintiff and requesting him to post them;
 - C. On or about February 19, 2019, Plaintiff complained to Linda Watson, DDD Corporate Compliance, by email that audit schedules which Plaintiff was told to post were a fraud on state licensing;
 - D. On or about February 22, 2019, Plaintiff contacted the Arizona Attorney General's office to ascertain which regulations were being violated; and,
 - E. By email dated February 26, 2019, Plaintiff complained to Defendant's CEO that he was displeased with the duty to lie and defraud state Regulators, and reiterating that he had been very vocal about the fraudulent activities previously.
 - 6. As a direct and proximate result of revealing the illegal activity, on or about

February 26, 2019, shortly after receiving Plaintiff's email complaining about fraud, Plaintiff was wrongfully terminated in violation of A.R.S. §23-1501.

- 7. The termination of Plaintiff by Defendant was in violation of the fraud Statutes of the State of Arizona (including, but not limited to A.R.S. §§13-2301(A)(2), 13-2310(A), 13-2407(A)(3), 36-2918(2), 13-1802, 36-2957, and 13-2006) and involved a complaint about fraud regarding Defendant's false claims being made to state and federal Medicare/Medicaid.
- 8. Defendant's conduct was wanton, willful and malicious, warranting punitive damages.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff requests:

- 1. That he be awarded damages in an amount to be determined at trial, including back pay, and that he be reinstated;
 - 2. That he be awarded damages for emotional distress, pain and suffering;
 - 3. That he be awarded punitive damages; and,
 - 4. That he be awarded such other relief as this Court deems appropriate.

Second Claim: Violation of the Anti-Retaliation Provisions of the False Claims Act, 31 U.S.C. §3730

- 1. The preceding allegations of this Complaint are incorporated by reference herein as though fully set forth.
- 2. Defendant's termination of Plaintiff was in retaliation for his bringing to Defendant's attention the false claim being made by Defendant and Plaintiff's unwillingness to participate in it.

1	3.	Plaintiff was protected under the False Claims Act, 31 U.S.C. §3730	
2	because he had a good faith belief that fraud was being committed when he reported the		
3	fraud being perpetrated.		
4			
5	4.	The discharge of Plaintiff was in retaliation for reporting the false claim.	
6	5.	Plaintiff has been damaged by Defendant's wrongful termination of him.	
7	WHEREFORE Plaintiff requests:		
8	1.	Damages in an amount to be determined at trial;	
10	2.	Reinstatement;	
11	3.	Double the amount of back-pay pursuant to statute with interest thereon;	
12	4.	Attamasia' food and coata management to atotato and	
13	4.	Attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to statute; and,	
14	5.	Such other relief as this Court deems appropriate.	
15	DAT	ED this 24 th day of April, 2019.	
16			
17		/s/ Guy D. Knoller	
18		Guy D. Knoller Attorney for Plaintiff	
19		Attorney for Frankfir	
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
ll l			